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## Key Points

- Federal civil rights policy regarding school discipline has been based on the premise that generally reducing adverse discipline outcomes will tend to reduce:
(a) relative (percentage) racial differences in discipline rates and
(b) the proportions racial minorities make up of students who are disciplined.
- Exactly the opposite is the case.


## Clarification of Terms

- If suspension rates are $15 \%$ for minorities and 5\% for whites
- ratio of minority rate to white rate is 3.0 (i.e., minority rate is 3 times the white rate)
- relative difference is $200 \%$ (i.e., minority rate is 200\% greater than white rate)
- if minorities make up $20 \%$ of students they would make up $43 \%$ of suspended students

Table 1. Illustration of effect of lowering test cutoff on relative difference between pass rates of advantaged group (AG) and disadvantaged group (DG)

| Cutoff | AG Pass <br> Rate | DG Pass <br> Rate | AG/DG <br> Pass Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 High | $80 \%$ | $63 \%$ | 1.27 |
| 2 Low | $95 \%$ | $87 \%$ | 1.09 |

Table 2. Illustration of effect of lowering test cutoff on (a) relative difference between pass rates and (b) relative difference between failure rates of advantaged group (AG) and disadvantaged group (DG)

| Cut- <br> off | AG <br> Pass <br> Rate | DG <br> Pass <br> Rate | AG <br> Fail <br> Rate | DG <br> Fail <br> Rate | AG/DG <br> Pass <br> Ratio | DG/AG <br> Fail <br> Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Hi | $80 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 1.27 | 1.85 |
| 2 Lo | $95 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $13 \%$ | 1.09 | 2.60 |

Table 3. Illustration of effect of lowering test cutoff on (a) relative difference between pass rates and (b) relative difference between failure rates of advantaged group (AG) and disadvantaged group (DG) and proportion DG makes up of (c) persons who pass the test and (d) persons who fail the test

| Cut- <br> off | AG <br> Pass <br> Rate | DG <br> Pass <br> Rate | AG/DG <br> Pass <br> Ratio | DG/AG <br> Fail <br> Ratio | DG <br> Prop <br> of <br> Pass | DG <br> Prop <br> of Fail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Hi | $80 \%$ | $63 \%$ | 1.27 | 1.85 | $44 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| 2 Lo | $95 \%$ | $87 \%$ | 1.09 | 2.60 | $48 \%$ | $72 \%$ |

Table 4. Illustration of effect of giving all students reprimand instead of first suspension on African American proportion of $\mathrm{K}-12$ and preschool students receiving one or more suspensions

| Setting | Number <br> Suspensions | AA Proportion of <br> Students Receiving |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| K-12 | One or more | $37 \%$ |
| K-12 | Two or more | $43 \%$ |
| Preschool | One or more | $44 \%$ |
| Preschool | Two or more | $48 \%$ |

Table 5. Illustration of effect of giving all students reprimand instead of first suspension on male proportion of K-12 and preschool students receiving one or more suspensions

| Setting | Number <br> Suspensions | Male Proportion of <br> Students Receiving |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| K-12 | One or more | $70 \%$ |
| K-12 | Two or more | $72 \%$ |
| Preschool | One or more | $80 \%$ |
| Preschool | Two or more | $82 \%$ |

Table 6: Out-of-school suspension rates for African American and white students in Massachusetts and nationally in 2012-2013, with measures of difference

| Area | AA <br> Rate | White <br> Rate | AA/Wh <br> Ratio <br> Susp | Wh/AA <br> Ratio <br> No Susp | EES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mass | $10.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | 3.70 | 1.08 | 0.65 |
| National | $16.4 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | 3.57 | 1.14 | 0.71 |

Table 7: Out-of-school suspension rates for African American and white students in Loudoun County (VA) and nationally in 20122013, with measures of difference

| Area | AA <br> Rate | White <br> Rate | AA/Wh <br> Ratio <br> Susp | Wh/AA <br> Ratio <br> No Susp | EES |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loudoun | $4.70 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | 3.54 | 1.04 | 0.55 |
| National | $16.4 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | 3.57 | 1.14 | 0.71 |

Table 8: Proportions African Americans make up of students and expelled students overall and in schools with zero tolerance policies, with ratio of African American expulsion rate to white expulsion rate

| Setting | AA Prop of <br> Students | AA Prop of <br> Expulsions | AA/Non-AA <br> Expulsion <br> Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Overall | $18 \%$ | $39 \%$ | 2.91 |
| Zero Tolerance | $19 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 2.10 |

