Table of Contents to Brief of James P. Scanlan as Amicus Curiae in *Texas Department of Housing and Community Development, et al. v. The Inclusive Communities Project, Inc.*, Supreme Court No. 13-1731 (Nov. 17, 2014)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	3
I. STANDARD STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF DISCRIMINATION ISSUES ARE UNSOUND	3
A. The Unsoundness of Standard Measures of Differences Between Outcome Rates as Measures of Association	5
1. Patterns by Which Standard Measures of Differences Between Outcome Rates Tend to be Affected by the Frequency of an Outcome and Implications of those Patterns with Respect to the Utility of the Measures for Quantifying a Disparate Impact	7
a. Relative Differences Between Favorable or Adverse Out- comes	8
b. Absolute Differences and Odd Ratios	13
c. The Four Measures Examined Together	14

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
2. A Theoretically Sound Measure of the Strength of the Forces Causing Outcome Rates of Advantaged and Disadvantaged Groups to Differ	18
3. Whether Relaxing a Requirement in Fact Reduces the Disparate Impact of the Requirement Within the Process of Which it Is a Part	20
B. The Fundamental Unsoundness of Analyses of Discrimination Issues Based on the Proportion a Group Comprises of Persons Potentially Experiencing an Outcome and the Proportion it Comprises of Persons Experiencing the Outcome	23
C. The Fundamental Unsoundness of Analyses of Discrimination Issues That Solely Examine Persons Who Accepted Some Outcome or Situation	27
II. THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT'S DISCRIMINATORY EFFECTS RULE IS NOT ENTITLED TO CHEVRON	90
DEFERENCE	30
CONCLUSION	34